







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/032,778	10/22/2001	John W. Morris	285-171	7173
:	7590 09/12/2003			
Peter G. Dilworth DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP 333 Earle Ovington Blvd.			EXAMINER	
			DAVIS, DANIEL J	
Uniondale, NY 11553			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			DATE MAILED: 09/12/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/ Y K					
· ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/032,778	MORRIS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	D. Jacob Davis	3731					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-5 and 8-15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,6,7</u> is/are rejected.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) <u>1,2,0,7</u> is/are rejected.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement						
Application Papers	r election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	_ '	miner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	bly to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•					
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	• •						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3731

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-7, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 606, subclass 60.
- II. Claims 8-15, drawn to a method of use, classified in class 606, subclass69.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus may be used to perform a materially different process, such as tagging animals.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Art Unit: 3731

<u>SPECIES</u>	<u>FIGURE</u>
1	1A
2	1B
3	1C
4	1D

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

During a telephone conversation with Peter Dilworth on September 2, 2003 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, Species 1, claims 1, 2, 6 and 7. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 3-5 and 8-15 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 3731

Page 5

Claims 1, 2, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 6,294,187 to Boyce et al. Boyce discloses in Fig. 3 a rectangular body 90 in the form of a plate. The device further comprises a screw and a single through bore. "Single" is interpreted as an open-ended limitation. The claim states, "comprising...a single through bore." There may exist multiple single through bores. Therefore, the limitation is legally interpreted such that more than one through bore may exist within the body. The body may be partially demineralized bone. See col. 2, lines 45-59.

In the alternative, the body 90 of the implant may not necessarily comprise the entirety of element 90. The body may be a rectangular portion of the device that only comprises a single through bore.

Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 1,025,008 to Miner. Miner discloses in Fig. 1, a body/plate 1 and a screw 7.

Art Unit: 3731

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure: U.S Pat. No. 5,505,731 to Tornier, U.S. Pat. No. 6,136,002 to

Shih et al., U.S. Pat. No. 6,277,149 to Boyle et al., and U.S. Pub.No. 2002/0045897 to

Dixon et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to D. Jacob Davis whose telephone number is (703) 305-

1232. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Milano can be reached on (703) 308-2496. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0858.

חוח

DJD

September 3, 2003

MICHAEL J. MILANO

Page 6

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700**